



**AIA**  
**Maryland**

*Promoting Maryland Architecture Since 1965*

January 11, 2021

Board of Directors  
International Code Council (ICC)  
500 New Jersey Avenue, NW (6<sup>th</sup> Floor)  
Washington, DC 20001

To the International Code Council Board of Directors:

I am writing on behalf of AIA Maryland and its almost 1,900 members to express our opposition to the ICC Board's recent proposal to replace our national model energy code with a new ICC energy standard. We strongly urge the ICC Board to reject this proposal to protect the integrity of the ICC code development process and to ensure the full participation of the ICC's Governmental Members in the development of our national model energy code.

This fall, the ICC Board of Directors directed its Long-Term Code Development Process Committee (LTCDDPC) to consider a proposal to remove the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) and Chapter 11 ("Energy Efficiency") of the International Residential Code (IRC) from the [Governmental Consensus Process](#) for code development. This proposal sought to replace them with a new energy efficiency standard developed through the ICC's [Standard Consensus Procedures](#). In the [December 16 edition](#) of its online newsletter *ICC Pulse*, ICC published additional details of the proposal and a call for public comments, due by January 11. The newsletter also reported that the ICC Board will hear verbal testimony from interested stakeholders the week of January 18.

Robert Ivy, FAIA, the EVP and Chief Executive Officer of The American Institute of Architects, has written to ICC leadership expressing AIA's opposition and disappointment that ICC would even consider this change. AIA Maryland strongly opposes this proposal for several reasons:

- **Limited participation/Transparency:** According to ICC's Council Policies that set the rules for the development of codes and standards, the Governmental Consensus Process leaves the final determination of code provisions in the hands of public officials who, with no vested financial interest, can legitimately represent the public interest. The ICC's Standards Consensus Procedures, however, leave final determination of a standard in the hands of a committee of 30 to 40 people.
- **Climate impact:** The ICC's Governmental Members have repeatedly chosen to improve the energy efficiency of the IECC over the past five code development cycles. Using the 2006 edition of the IECC as a baseline, we expect the soon-to-be published 2021 IECC to increase energy efficiency by almost 50 percent in residential and commercial buildings.

The American Institute of Architects

AIA Maryland  
86 Maryland Avenue  
Annapolis, MD 21401

T (410) 263 0916  
[www.aiamd.org](http://www.aiamd.org)

During this period, the Governmental Members have rejected all proposals to roll back or trade away energy efficiency in the code. Others, who did not “win” on the issue are proposing this “change” to further undermine the process to overturn perceived losses.

- **Inconsistency:** In the *ICC Pulse*, the ICC states that the Standard Consensus Procedures “allow for more timely consideration and an in-depth investigation of energy improvements without the time limits imposed in the code hearings.” Should the Governmental Consensus Process no longer be appropriate for the development of the IECC, the ICC should make clear why that consensus process remains appropriate for the development of the International Building Code (IBC), the International Residential Code (IRC), and the other 11 codebooks that are part of the I-Codes.
- **Limited input and deliberation:** State and local governments have successfully participated in the ICC Governmental Consensus Process for over two decades, yet the ICC Board has not solicited their feedback on this proposal. The Board should not fast-track its consideration of such a drastic change that would end the guaranteed participation of its Governmental Members in developing the national model energy code without asking for either their input or approval.

The state of Maryland ranks 10<sup>th</sup> highest in amount of coastline based on NOAA measurements and the impact to our state from climate change is significant every year. In our state, we have implemented sustainability measures that challenge the state to lead by example in making state funded projects meet a higher bar that regulations require, and this higher bar has been achievable. Similarly, with each update of the IECC, the design community recognizes that we need to step up aspects of our projects to meet the new thresholds set, and while challenging at first, we recognize that they are achievable and incredibly important. The ICC process has kept us on a steady path forward and changing in a way that would eliminate the governmental consensus process will only slow the progress we need to make toward diminishing the impact of the built environment on climate change.

AIA Maryland urges the ICC Board to reject this proposal and focus its attention on continuing to increase (not decrease) industry stakeholder and public participation in the code development process. Thank you for the opportunity to provide our input.

Sincerely,



Chris Parts, AIA  
Legislative Committee Chair  
AIA Maryland

The American Institute of Architects

AIA Maryland  
86 Maryland Avenue  
Annapolis, MD 21401

T (410) 263 0916  
[www.aiamd.org](http://www.aiamd.org)