1. **Call to Order- Chair Kraus**  
The meeting was called to order at approximately 11:00 am on February 27, 2007.

2. **Self Introductions**  
   2.1 Identify new members  
   Staff noted that the US Green Building Council is a new member of the IAC and John “Chip” Henderson is their representative.

   Staff present: Mike Pfeiffer, Tom Frost, Jay Peters

2.2 Establish quorum (20 minimum)  
   A quorum was established. A list of attendees is on Attachment A.

3. **Approve Agenda**  
   Agenda approved.

4. **Approval of July 20, 2006 IAC Meeting Minutes**  
   Approved as modified:  
   6.1 Chair’s name is Dick Kraus  

5. **Chair’s Report**  
   No report

6. **ICC Codes & Standards Activities**  
   6.1 2006 Code Development Hearings  
   Staff noted:  
   - Approximately 2261 agenda items. This volume is indicative of the I-codes gaining widespread acceptance and adoptions, leading to an increase in participation in the process.  
   - ROH posted on November 11th; published version available November 27th  
   - Public comments were due January 24, 2007

   6.2 2007 Final Action Hearings  
   Staff noted:  
   - The Final Action agenda is due to be posted March 2nd  
   - Final Action Hearings are May 21 – 26, 2007  
   - Anticipated volume is 639 items (compared to 560 items at the 2005 FAH in Detroit)  
   - The tentative order is the reverse of the 2005 FAH – start with IFGC and end with IFC.  
   - Electronic voting will be used  
   - A change to CP #28 has been approved by the ICC Board to require that any changes to member voting status be received by ICC at least 10 days prior to the first day of the Final Action Hearings.

   a. **Electronic voting**  
   Ron Nickson passed out a letter from International Code Consultants concerning electronic voting. The letter requests that the IAC send a letter to the ICC Board recommending the use of electronic voting to only issues requiring a count when the moderator is unable to visually judge the results of the vote.
Discussion:
- Limiting electronic voting will allow participants to know where support/opposition is coming from.
- Electronic voting allows for voting to be anonymous – no peer pressure.
- Electronic voting should speed up the voting process versus staff hand counts.

A straw vote was taken of the IAC to see if they were interested in pursuing this issue with the ICC Board – the response was yes. The following was developed, with staff to forward to the Board. Staff noted that there is no assurance this would be considered by the Board prior to Rochester due to Board meeting timing.

Electronic Voting – IAC Recommendation to the ICC Board

The Industry Advisory Committee recommends to the ICC Board that they reconsider their decision regarding electronic voting such that electronic voting at the Rochester hearing, and perhaps beyond, be limited to only those issues requiring a count when the moderator is unable to visually judge the results of a vote. Two reasons for this request are as follows:

1. The ICC code development process should be as transparent as possible. The code development process is unlike other situations, such as ICC officer elections, where anonymity with respect to voting is appropriate. ICC committee hearings and final action hearings are essentially legislative processes that generate regulations for adoption into law, and it is appropriate that voting at these hearings be done in a fashion that is visible to the public. Otherwise, questions may be raised about monitoring of who has the electronic voting devices, and whether one individual might have multiple devices in his/her position to vote on behalf of friends who might leave the hearing for some reason.

2. Open voting at the final action hearing is particularly beneficial to the ICC code development process because it allows groups with differing opinions on an issue to identify one another and possibly work to resolve differences and develop compromise proposals for consideration at a later date.

The motion to forward this to the ICC Board passed with 2 voting in opposition.

6.3 NEW 2007/2008 Cycle

Staff noted:
- Committee applications due July 2nd.
- 2007 Supplement to be available by July 25th and posted in late June.
- Code changes due August 20th.

6.3 Codes and Standards Committee Activities

Staff noted:
- Ad Hoc Administrative Provisions: over 125 code changes submitted in an effort to achieve uniformity of Chapter 1 provisions throughout the I-Codes. The committee was about 45% successful in Orlando.
- Ad Committee on Terrorism Resistant Buildings: 14 proposals submitted in response to the NIST WTC Recommendations. Two proposals passed in Orlando.
- Ad Hoc Committee on Wall Bracing: Appointed in June/2006 - no code changes developed for the 2006/2007 cycle. The committee focused on modifications for Orlando and public comments for Rochester. A full compliment of code changes is expected for the 2007/2008 cycle.
- Ad Hoc 13D Sprinkler Committee: Their work relative to the last cycle of NFPA 13D is complete, with marginal success. The ICC Board will be discussing the future of this committee at the May Board meeting.
- Code Technology Committee: 5 meetings held in 2006. Five code changes dealing with the following areas of study submitted in 2006/2007: Climbable guards; NIST WTC Recommendations; Day care/child care/assisted living.
- ICC/NAHB Green Building Standard: This will be a jointly developed standard between ICC and
NAHB – approximately 15 nominations from each group and 15 joint nominations for a total of approximately 45. NAHB will serve as the Secretariat.

- ICC A117.1 Standard: Over 175 public proposals have been considered by the committee in their first and only meeting in 2006. Second meeting scheduled for April/2007.
- Hurricane Resistant Construction Standard: Three meetings held in 2006. Final draft for public comment was issued with comments due January 2, 2007. The over 150 comments will be considered at their next meeting at the end of February.
- Log Standard: Completed and approved by ANSI. This is the subject of a code change on the Final Action Agenda for Rochester.
- Storm Shelter Standard: Final draft submitted with comments due December 26, 2006. The committee met in mid-January to consider the over 150 comments.

6.4 Testifying at ICC Hearings – representation and funding

Ron Nickson passed out a letter from International Code Consultants concerning representation and funding of participants at the hearings. The letter notes a concern of code officials testifying as representatives of a jurisdiction when their method of funding to attend the meeting is in question. It was also noted that the potential for impropriety exists with code committee members relative to conflict of interest. A recommendation was offered that the IAC develop a policy where-by participants in the ICC Code Development Process sign a statement indicating their representation and funding when participating in the process.

The IAC created a task group to study this issue. The task group is to be chaired by Jeff Shapiro and includes: Ron Nickson, Jake Pauls, Stan Smith and Ed Sutton.

7. ICC Governmental Relation Activities

7.1 National

Mark Dinneen of the GR staff briefed the IAC on national adoption efforts noting the California and New York City adoptions.

7.2 Federal

Mark briefed the IAC noting:
- Fire service collaboration with ICC relative to legislative acts that pertain to the fire service
- The ICC Board will be meeting with their respective representatives in Washington in May
- OSHA is reviewing an ICC request to allow the IBC and IFC as an acceptable alternative to the OSHA requirements for means of egress
- National Code Administration Grant Program

7.3 Interoperability

Dave Conover briefed the IAC on interoperability noting:
- This is basically ICC’s first R&D project
- The IECC is the first code to be modeled.
- The process steps include:
  - Architect/designer uses Building Information Model (BIM) to document and present design
  - BIM is loaded into the model checking software (MCS)
  - MCS applies the rule set from the smartcodes to the BIM
  - MCS returns a compliance report
  - Architect/designer submits the BIM to the building department as part of the permit application
- Information on the project can be accessed from [www.smartcodes.org](http://www.smartcodes.org)

8. ICC-ES Activities

8.1 ES Industry Advisory Committee

Mike Gardner briefed the IAC noting:
- The committee has met twice
- The committee is studying the process and use of acceptance criteria as well as the procedures and time frame to secure a report
- Questions from the IAC included clarification of the use of acceptance criteria versus code/standard text.
• The next meeting is in late April/early May.

8.2 ES Review Committee
Julie Ruth briefed the IAC noting:
• The committee was created by the ICC board based on the IAC Task Force report dated September/2005
• The scope of the effort is one of reviewing the overall ICC ES process, policies and procedures and develop recommendations for the ICC Board.
• The focus to date has been a questionnaire that was sent to ICC evaluation services report holders concerning the ES process

9. ICC Board issues
9.1 Task Force on Hearings
a. Remote voting
The IAC recommendation from the July/2006 IAC meeting, noting that the IAC was not in support of remote voting, went to the ICC Board in September/2006. The Board forwarded this to the Task Force on Hearings.

The Task Force on Hearings is studying this issue and notes based on tests performed to date that the current technology would result in the addition of a significant amount of time to the code hearings due to delays in broadcast and gathering of votes. The Task Force will be participating with the Steering Committee in a remote test to be performed from the Chicago District Office in April.

9.2 ICC Membership Ad Hoc Committee
Ron Nickson passed out a questionnaire/survey that was developed by the committee. Ron noted that the committee is soliciting input on the member survey and it was suggested that staff distribute the survey electronically to full IAC for their input. Responses should be directed to Ron Nickson.

Chair Kraus noted that there was an ICC focus group of the IAC who held a meeting in April/2004 dealing with a similar issue.

10. IAC Issues
10.1 Membership criteria
The issue of “who can be a member of IAC” has arose with the IAC appointment of a company who is viewed as a manufacturer of a product and not an “association”. This led to a further analysis of CP 6 versus the IAC Procedures, noting discrepancies between the two documents. It was further noted that a group like the American Public Health Association, who is a member of the IAC, is also not viewed as an “industry”.

A task group was formed to study this issue. The group will be chaired by Ken Bland, and includes Dave Harris, John Loyer, Jake Pauls and Julie Ruth.

10.2 Relationship to ICC Councils
Staff briefed the IAC on the history of the Councils and their being regulated by CP #9. One of the key responsibilities of the Councils is to review committee applications and recommend committee appointments to the ICC Board.

Under letter from The International Code Consultants, Jeff Shapiro notes that prior to his appointment to the International Fire Code Council, he requested endorsement by the IAC for his candidacy. He was notified that IAC’s operating procedures do not provide for such recommendations and he would like the IAC to consider implementation of procedures to provide for such recommendations.

Discussion:
• If an IAC recommendation, would the candidate be representing the IAC on all matters before the Council? The response was no, it is just an IAC endorsement.
• Unless the IAC is given a set-aside slot on the Councils, it was noted that members of the IAC are not in favor

By unanimous vote, the IAC stated that it does not endorse or seek representation on an ICC Council.

11. Old Business
Jake Pauls requested that the issue of having conference call capability for the IAC meetings be revisited.
12. **New Business**  
None

13. **Next IAC Meeting/Draft Agenda Items**  
13.1 **Tentative date: Sunday, September 30, 2007, Reno, NV (Morning - prior to Expo)**  
Staff noted that the current schedule for September 30th in Reno calls for the Expo to be held in the afternoon. As such the IAC meeting would be held from 8 am - 12 noon.

13.2 **Draft Agenda Items**  
None identified

14. **Adjourn**  
The meeting adjourned at approximately 2:25 pm on February 27, 2007.
## IAC Member

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alliance for Building Regulatory Reform</td>
<td>Robert Wible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Petroleum Institute</td>
<td>Richard Kraus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Forest and Paper Assn.</td>
<td>Ken Bland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Architectural Manufacturers Association</td>
<td>Julie Ruth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Institute of Architects</td>
<td>Brooks Rainwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Institute of Building Design</td>
<td>Mike Studer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Iron and Steel Institute</td>
<td>Robert Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Public Health Association</td>
<td>Jake Pauls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM International</td>
<td>Jim Olshefsky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers</td>
<td>Steve Ferguson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Owners and Managers Assoc. Intl</td>
<td>Ron Burton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast Iron Soil Pipe Institute</td>
<td>Jonathan Sargeant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose Insulation Manufacturers Association</td>
<td>Daniel Lea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door and Access Systems Manufacturers Association</td>
<td>Joseph Hetzel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Association of North America</td>
<td>Stan Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum Association</td>
<td>Michael Gardner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icynene Incorporated</td>
<td>John Loyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Firestop Council</td>
<td>Richard Licht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Association of Home Builders</td>
<td>Ed Sutton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl. Council of Structural Engineers Association</td>
<td>Ron Hamburger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Electrical Manufacturers Association</td>
<td>Martin J. Brett, Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Fire Protection Association</td>
<td>Nancy McNabb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Institute of Building Sciences</td>
<td>David Harris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Multi Housing Council</td>
<td>Ron Nickson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Pipe and Fittings Association</td>
<td>Dick Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing, Heating &amp; Cooling Contractors</td>
<td>Ike Casey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Association</td>
<td>Jim Messersmith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland Cement Association</td>
<td>Eric Lacey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Energy Codes Alliance</td>
<td>Pat McLaughlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiconductor Industry Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning Contractors' National Association</td>
<td>Eli Howard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Ply Roofing Industry</td>
<td>David L. Roodvoets &amp; Mike Ennis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Joist Institute</td>
<td>Robert Hackworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underwriters Laboratories Inc.</td>
<td>Bob James</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Green Building Council</td>
<td>Chip Henderson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>